

Activities Focal Point October 2013 – April 2014



Action Plan

	Results and activities	Who	With Whome	When	Indicator			
O	Objective 1: Common messaging from all levels to all levels to							
	change policies for better pastoralist livelihoods							
_	Result 1: En	hanced Capa	acity to Target Different Policy Lev	vels				
R. 1.A.				Ctarting				
1.	Mapping networks and CSO's		Reconcile	Starting now -				
	1. Who is funding what at what level		PDNK	report				
	2. Who is doing what at what level		PFE	within 6				
	3. What are gaps and opportunities for engagement?	DLCI and VSFB		months (gathering Core group)	Report (after 6 months) + Venn Diagram (next AM)			
R. 1.A. 2.	Identify key strategic entry points for action at all different levels	DLCI and VSFB	Based on the results of the intermediate mtg	Next AM	Report next AM			
	Result 2: Coherence between policy and funding and implementation on the ground							
R. 2.A. 1.	Find out who is funding IDDRSI and what parts of it to what level + monitoring the implementation	DLCI and EA partners Cordaid	Eu members PDNK	ongoing				
R. 2.A. 2.	CELEP acting at the EU level on non coherent issues	VSFB and EU members	EU members and EA partners	ongoing	Report next CG meeting and next AM			



R1: Enhanced capacity to target different policy levels

Ongoing

- Mapping + identifying entry points
 - Mapping survey
 - Identifying entry points and matching with EU opportunities
 - Mapping documents from members and partners
- Follow-up:
 - Workshop Core-group meeting
 - To do (by FP):
 - Summary document
 - Results shared with google group
 - Presentation annual MTG october



R2: Coherence between policy funding and implementation on the ground

Ongoing: input from Reconcile

- IDDRSI
 - DLCI, Cordaid and EA partners
 - Study DLCI on funding for resilience in the Horn of Africa:
 - http://www.disasterriskreduction.net/eastcentral-africa/reglap/documents/en/?tags=studies
- Celep acting on non-coherent issues



Action Plan

	Objective 2: institutional support for the AU framework on pastoralism						
	Result 1: Policies at national level (EA) are in line with AU framework on pastoralism						
R.	Awareness raising on the AU pastoralim framework at the policy level (targetting parliamentarians,	COPACSO Reconcile	PFE East Africa Land Network	November '13 - March			
1.A.1.	ministeries, CSOs and media)		ILC-Rangeland Africa Project	'14			
R. 1.A.2.	Enabling pastoral organisations on using AU pasto FW for lobbying	COPACSO Reconcile	Country FP (EA)	November '13 - March '14			
R.	Develop score cards on AU pastoralism FW implementation/	Decembile	Country FD (FA)	June- September'			
1.A.3.	1.A.3. advancement Reconcile Country FP (EA) 14						
Result 2: EU and its' member states align development policies and funding to AU pasto FW							
R.	National CSO lobby EU through CELEP to align development policies						
2.A.1.	with AU pastoralism FW	VSFB	EA country FP				
R. 2.A.2.	Feedback on EU proposals and documents	VSFB					



R1: Policies at national (EA) level are in line with AU FW on pastoralism

Ongoing: input from Ken

- Possibility to create a tool:
 - Based on REGLAP flyer
 http://www.disasterriskreduction.net/fileadmin/user-upload/drought/docs/FINAL%20AU
 %20FLYER.pdf
 - Updated with JPA resolution on pastoralism
 - Translated into different languages
 - Budget



- Be-troplive conference on pastoralism (November 2013)
 - Participation of Ken (Reconcile CELEP)
 - Participation of Kenyan partner VSF-B
- Sudan visit MEP delegation Sudan (March 2014)
 - Participation of CELEP members and local CSO's







		List of participants Sudan Visit MEF	PS
Name	First Name	Organisation	Email
Esmaeal	Yousif	Al Masar Charity Organisation for Pastoralist Development and Environmental conservation, Eastern Sudan	hq@almassar.org
Hussien AbuBaker	Osman	Al Masar Charity Organisation for Pastoralist Development and Environmental conservation, Eastern Sudan	hq@almassar.org
Osman	Alnour Mohamed	Almasar Charity Organisation for Pastoralist Development and Environmental conservation, Eastern Sudan	Alnoor738@gmail.com
Costigan	Aine	Concern	pc.darfur@concern.net
Mwaba	Friday	Concern	fim.sudan@concern.net
Mohamed	Elhadj	Ma'an	yahassan1@yahoo.com
Niamat Ibrahim	n Ahmed	Ma'an / Hawdage for Pastoral Women Development	gdfsdnsaeed2010@hotmail.com
Vossen	Jan	Oxfam Novib	Jan.Vossen@oxfamnovib.nl
Maas	Maria	Oxfam Novib	Maria.Maas@oxfamnovib.nl
El Majid	Salih	SOS Sahel Sudan	saliheldouma@sahelsudan.org
Egemi	Omar	University of Khartoum	omer.egemi@hotmail.com
Abu Median	Amur	Vet Care	
Sidahmed	Mohamed	VSF-Germany	Msidahmed@vsfg.org
Abdulrahman	Noor	VSF-Germany	noor@vsfg.org
Elmalik	Khitma	VSF-Germany	
Kamil	Nawal	VSF-Germany	nawalk@vsfg.org
Osman	Siham	Practical Action	Siham.Mosman@practicalactionsd.org
Salih	Mohamed	Practical Action	
Eslbashir	Waleed	Practical Action	Waleed.elbashir@practicalactionsd.org



- Individual contacts with MEPs and Commission
 - Contacts with Belgian MEP Bart Staes
 - Meeting with assistant MEP Bearder
 - Reorganisation Commission: Unit C1 Food Security and Rural Development. Head: Aloys Lorkeers and Bernard Rey
 - Roberto Aparicio-Martin (Pastoralism)
 - Lucia Castillo –Fernandez (Livestock and development)
 - Andrea Masserelli (Animal welfare)
- Feedback on EU proposals and documents: Mitchell report
- Pilots : ongoing
 - Possibility in July (new MEPs, new advocacy opportunities)
 - Based on proposition by Kaczmarek
 - Other pilots
 - To be continued on Thursday
- EDD 2013: failed





Better coordination of efforts and improved funding methods

35. Points out that it is crucial for the Member States and EU institutions to coordinate their development and humanitarian activities better and to work together to make their aid more effective; points to the European Parliament's 'Cost of non-Europe in Development Policy' study of June 2013, which estimates that EUR 800 million could be saved annually in transaction costs if donors concentrated their aid efforts on fewer countries and activities, and that an extra EUR 8.4 billion in annual savings could be achieved through better cross-country allocation patterns;

11.11.2013

36. Notes the important contribution of mobile small-scale livestock keepers in producing meat, milk and blood in areas which are ill-suited to other forms of agriculture; stresses the important role that they play in feeding communities as well as their positive contribution to food security and nutrition, as evidenced in arid and semi-arid lands demonstrating that children in pastoral areas tend to have better food security than those who are settled in cities and villages; calls therefore for the rights and needs of those pastoral populations to be taken into account when designing agricultural interventions and programmes;

REPORT

on the EU approach to resilience and disaster risk reduction in developing countries: learning from food security crises (2013/2110(INI))

Plenary

Committee on Development

Rapporteur: Gay Mitchell



Action Plan

Objective 3: Eu policies in favor of EA pastoralism						
Result 1: More engagement from EA partners						
	EA partners engage with local EC	COPA				
R.1.A.	delegations on MIP. FP prepares one	CSO	VSFB			
1.	pager to assist them.		Cordaid	October '13		
R.1.A.	Regular meetings with EC delegations	COPA				
2.	and local CSOs	CSO	All EA partners	Every 3-4 months		
		Oxfa				
		m				
R.1.A.	Organize roundtable meetings with EC	SDN/				
3.	delegations and local CSO's	UG	All EA partners	March 2014		
	JPA follow-up: organize side-event and					
R.1.A.	field visit. VSFB will prepare a one page		All EU partners			
4.	to explain the events	VSFB	and members	October-November '13		
Result 2: Increased visibility of pastoralism issues by CELEP						
			All EA partners			
		IUCN				
		COPA				
		CSO				
		Oxfa				
R.2.A.	Mapping opportunities and targets at EU	m				
1.	level (BXL) and delegation level (EA)	SDN		October'13-January'14		



Result 1: More engagement from EA partners

MIPs:

- One pager was sent, consultations first phase ended
- In some cases still ongoing, up to EA partners to contact EEAS delegations
- Ongoing: focal point gets informed on the process

JPA follow-up

- Failed attempt to organize a side-event at JPA in Ethiopia (= conference)
 - ACP secretariat hard to contact
 - Deadline too tight
- Addis (Kera) Cattle market visit of MEPs Hall and Bearder + resolution approved in November 2013
- Press release: sent to local journalists, published on at least one website
- Follow-up question and answer commission: CELEP recognized
- Participation to JPA session in Strasbourg, disappointing



Result 1: More engagement from EA partners



ACP-FU JOINT PARI IAMENTARY ASSEMBLY



- The Commission is conscious of the importance of involving stakeholders and beneficiaries in the decision-making process leading to the design of cooperation strategies and programmes, as called for in the Resolution for the case of pastoralists. As an illustration of its commitment to ensuring a fluent dialogue with pastoralists' organizations, over the last 12 months Commission representatives have attended two major events where pastoralists' representatives have had the opportunity to meet governments and donors and discuss pastoralism issues: the Regional Symposium on Pastoral Livestock held in Chad in May 2013 and the High Level Forum on Pastoralism organized by the World Bank, the Government of Mauritania and the CILSS in October 2013 in Mauritania. The Commission also keeps open channels for communication with the Coalition of European Lobbies on Eastern African Pastoralism (CELEP).
- Fighting climate change and reducing greenhouse gas emissions, which the Resolution is calling for in order to preserve pastoralists' ecosystems, lie at the very core of the EU's policies, as expressed in the pledge of allocating 20% of the whole EU budget to climaterelevant actions.

ACP-EU JOINT PARLIAMENTARY ASSEMBLY

27th SESSION: 17-19 March 2014, STRASBOURG (France)



Result 1: More engagement from EA partners

- Follow-up JPA: Follow-up questions Commission insufficiently answered at last JPA session:
 - "In the Commission's response to the question tabled by Fiona Hall MEP, it is stated that "the Commission's departments are currently preparing a reference document on pastoralism for EU delegations". Could the Commission provide further details on what sort of format will this document take and how will it be prepared? Will there be any consultations for this, and if so, how will they be organised?"
 - "In the Commission follow-up document to the JPA resolution on pastoralism, it is pointed out that "at least 8 ACP countries have included in their multi-year NIP some EU funded activities for the benefit of pastoralist' populations". Could the Commission give further details on which countries it is referring to and what is meant by 'activities for the benefit of pastoralist' populations'?"



Result 2: Increased visibility of pastoralism issues by CELEP

- Mapping opportunities at EU level and EA level
 - Exercise with An
 - New Parliament and Commission
 - New documents/policy briefs:
 - Pastoralism and nutrition
 - Pastoralism and animal welfare
 - Pastoralism and wildlife conservation
 - •



Action Plan

Objective 3: Eu policies in favor of EA pastoralism						
Result 3: mainstreaming pastoralism in relevant development issues						
		IUCN	Input from Ggroup			
	- - - -	ETC	Reconcile			
R.3.A.1.	·	IIED VSFG	VSFG	January '14		
		VSFB	Input from Ggroup			
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ETC				
R.3.A.2.	pastoralism and nutrition			January '14		
		IUCN-WISP				
	Policy brief on pastoralism	CONCERN				
R.3.A.3.	and climate change	COPACSO				
	Work out a practical case to	Cordaid	Reconcile			
	be used as a lobby tool:	IWGIA				
R.3.A.4.	LAPSSET	VSFB				
Result 4: More coherence in EU policies concerning policies that regard pastoralism						
	Comparative study of EU					
	policy towards pastoralism					
R.4.A.1.	inside and outside EU	IUCN	Reconcile			
	Develop advocacy activities					
	based on the outcomes of this					
R.4.A.2.	study	VSFB	EU members			



Result 3: Mainstreaming pastoralism in other development areas

- Policy briefs: process initiated
- Regarding pastoralism and climate change
 - Reaction to an article in The Economist
 - Sent on behalf of CELEP
 - Eventually not published
 - Longer reaction posted on CELEP website
 - Lesson: next time on behalf of MEP?
- Practical Case: follow-up with Cordaid



Result 3: More engagement from EA partners

SIR —Though your article on the subject of livestock farming ("Meat and Greens", January 18th) raises an important issue, it is based on fundamentally flawed assumptions. The article assumes that intensive livestock production can replace traditional pastoral livestock production. Traditional pastoral livestock production exploits environments, often semi-arid with heterogeneous and highly seasonal grazing resources that are unsuitable for more intensive livestock production, or the production of grains to feed livestock.

Cattle raised by pastoralists may produce large amounts of methane per animal, but this has no significant effect on the net production of Greenhouse Gases per km². Grass not eaten by cattle would be eaten by other methane producing herbivores, including termites and gnus, or burnt in seasonal bush fires, releasing CO². Pastoral production complements, and cannot be substituted by, intensive livestock production, witness the export of over 3.3 million head per year from the semi-arid Horn of Africa via Berbera.

The Coalition of European Lobbies on Eastern African Pastoralism

The Coalition of European Lobbies on Eastern African Pastoralism (CELEP) is an informal advocacy coalition of European organisations, groups and experts working in partnership with pastoralist organisations, groups and experts in Eastern Africa. The Members of the Coalition work together to lobby their national governments and EU bodies to explicitly recognise and support pastoralism (and the people that practise pastoralism: pastoralists) in the drylands of Eastern Africa. More info: www.celep.info



Result 4: More coherence in EU pastoralism policy (intern and extern)

- Follow-up with Pablo
- Urgency?



Conclusions and lessons

- European Parliament: entry point for advocacy with other institutions
 - Written questions
 - Reports
 - Field visits
- Become more pro-active. (Pilots, EDD, etc.)
- Increase EA involvement in field trips, also when visiting Europe
- More specific advocacy messages



Organisation - Funding

- Some members paid, others still waiting for payment.
- Reevalution of the membership of:
 - Acord
 - Both Ends
 - ELD
 - FarmAfrica
 - MRG
- New members?
- EA partners: expansion into other EA countries based on the survey? First participation next annual meeting?



Questions?

Thank you!