The future of pastoralism: an introduction

J. Zinsstag \(^{(1, 2)*}\), E. Schelling \(^{(1, 2)}\), B. Bonfoh \(^{(3)}\), L. Crump \(^{(1, 2)}\) & S. Krätli \(^{(4)}\)

\(^{(1)}\) Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Socinstrasse 57, PO Box, 4002 Basel, Switzerland
\(^{(2)}\) University of Basel, PO Box, 4002 Basel, Switzerland
\(^{(3)}\) Centre Suisse de Recherches Scientifiques en Côte d’Ivoire, 01 BP 1303 Abidjan 01, Côte d’Ivoire
\(^{(4)}\) Editor of Nomadic Peoples, journal of the Commission on Nomadic Peoples of the International Union for Anthropological and Ethnological Sciences

* Corresponding author: jakob.zinsstag@unibas.ch

Summary

Two-thirds of the agricultural land on planet Earth is grassland on which no other crops can be grown, either because of limited rainfall, high altitude or mountainous conditions. Most of these semi-arid and high-altitude pastoral ecosystems are used by livestock husbandry systems with various forms of mobility and are not in competition with crop production for human nutrition. By devoting an issue of its Scientific and Technical Review to pastoralism, the World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) is encouraging debate on this important topic and helping to shape the future of pastoralists and their livestock. Pastoralism is a complex system, driven by interacting ecological, social and economic factors that cannot be adequately addressed by one discipline or sector alone. For its future development, we must engage with local knowledge systems and with all stakeholders. This issue of the OIE Review endeavours to take a broad view and provide a synthetic vision for the sustainable use of pastoral ecosystems, with innovative ideas for livelihoods, economic development, sustained ecosystem services and social and institutional development as the context for animal and human health and wellbeing.
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Introduction

Two-thirds of the land used for agriculture on the planet is grassland. This corresponds to about 30 million square kilometres, or roughly the combined land area of China, the United States and Europe. In most of these grasslands, highly variable precipitation rates result in key resources becoming available in ephemeral, unpredictable concentrations. Extensive, and usually mobile, pastoral systems have co-evolved within these particular agricultural environments. With rare exceptions, these grasslands have no sustainable crop alternative due to climate, altitude or terrain, therefore livestock production in pastoral systems is not in competition with crop production for human nutrition. On the contrary, many pastoral systems worldwide have developed forms of integration with crop farming (for example, using crop residues), often seasonally, over long distances (for instance, between highlands and lowlands, summer and winter pastures or between semi-arid and sub-humid areas), contributing to increased livelihood resilience in both contexts. Despite the global deficit of statistical data on pastoral systems, information from case studies and systematic reviews consistently point at their substantial economic contribution and their irreplaceable role in the ecologically sustainable use of the grasslands for food production; therefore, any credible scenario for sustainable development must include pastoral systems.

The World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE) was created in 1924 with the primary objectives of ensuring transparent sharing of animal health information and developing standards for safe trade in animals and animal products,
to prevent the spread of transboundary animal, primarily livestock, diseases. Other objectives concern animal welfare and sustainable livestock production systems. As livestock (chiefly ruminants, camels and equines) are an integral element of livelihoods in pastoral areas, the future of pastoralism is of great interest to the OIE. By publishing this review, the OIE is opening up the debate on this issue and helping to forge the future of pastoralists and their livestock worldwide.

Pastoral production systems are shaped and driven by correlated and interconnected ecological, social and economic processes, requiring genuine transdisciplinarity to transcend the traditional nature/culture divide. These complex relationships are often best understood in terms of non-linear relationships and feed-back loops or, alternatively, as social–ecological systems, which may go through periods of ‘creative destruction’ and renewal. The ability of these systems of social and ecological relationships to withstand stresses and survive under pressure is the focus of ‘resilience thinking’ (11, 12, 13). Classic disciplinary and interdisciplinary approaches often fail to capture and represent these relationships. Social and ecological processes in pastoral systems are discontinuous and dynamic and, as in all dynamic systems, static equilibria are the exception rather than the rule (14). For example, years with adequate rainfall may be followed by years with very little rainfall, and locust invasion and other disasters may occur.

Reflection on the future of pastoralism must consider the contribution of all key stakeholders, and especially pastoralists themselves, combining academic thinking with local knowledge systems in participatory processes (15, 16). Guidance for the future of pastoralism cannot be developed by asking simple questions which assume direct or linear causation. As in all complex systems, pastoral systems can be viewed from different, often conflicting, perspectives. These perspectives reflect different kinds of evidence selected according to the values of the observers. To frame the discussion, the authors offer dialectic questions illustrating opposite viewpoints:

- Is pastoralism a backward, hopelessly unproductive way of life or an adaptive, sophisticated, and resilient livelihood system, which is economically profitable and ecologically sustainable but currently challenged by unbalanced economic interests, both national and international, and the cumulative impact of historical misunderstanding and marginalisation?

- Are pastoral regions inherently ungovernable, prone to scarcity, separatism, and insecurity or historically neglected, starved of good governance and actually, with proper policies and investments, high-potential assets?

- Should basic social services, ranging broadly from education and public health to veterinary care and security, be adapted to effectively reach communities whose livelihoods require geographical dispersion and mobility or should pastoralists adapt to traditional service provision as conceived for sedentary communities?

- Have pastoralists resisted modernisation or have they been, to date, failed by government policies and development schemes constructed under the assumption that progress and pastoralism are mutually exclusive?

These questions are interwoven and must, therefore, be addressed together, providing a considerable challenge for all involved. Pastoral systems are inherently dynamic because of the high climatic, ecological and social variability and are rarely in a static equilibrium. But solutions that look beyond the legacy of equilibrium thinking are only beginning to take form. There is a need for sectoral approaches which engage with systemic processes and relational causation, based on understanding the context, including historical aspects.

The papers are organised into five sections:

i) constraints to pastoralism – pastoral production systems are threatened by fragile ecosystems and ill served with social services for health, education and security

ii) opportunities – pastoralists have centuries-old knowledge on land use and livelihoods in places where other people would be barely able to make a living

iii) social and economic viability of pastoralism – pastoralism has great social and economic potential and can serve multiple functions beyond livestock rearing, provided pastoralists can benefit from improved and locally adapted social services

iv) tools for pastoral development – innovative modes of education and the ongoing revolution of communication offer a wide perspective on a modernised pastoral way of life

v) human and animal health services for pastoralists – there is untapped potential for closer cooperation between public and animal health services under a One Health paradigm.

**Constraints to pastoralism**

Pastoral ecosystems are the subject of ongoing controversy, especially with regard to their main use by livestock. There are alarming reports of degradation and contribution to climate change. Conversely, the arguments that pastoralist ecosystems are doomed to failure are also challenged. Evidence for the
Improving the economic viability and social aspects of pastoralism

Understanding of the economics of pastoralism spans a range of perspectives. Some argue that pastoralism is dying, either because pastoralist practices are inherently unsustainable or because pastoralists are being excluded from key resources or because demographic growth is suffocating. Others purport that the role of pastoralists is multifunctional and that they play a part in activities as diverse as tourism, the food industry, natural resource management and the maintenance of ecological diversity, as well as contributing to carbon sequestration and even ‘state’ services (e.g. occupying remote border areas which are otherwise impossible to patrol, as argued in the 2013 N’Djamena Declaration [27]). It is argued, therefore, that pastoralists should be rewarded with direct payments by the state as, for example, in Europe. Yet another viewpoint maintains that pastoral production systems contribute significantly to gross domestic product, e.g. in African countries. Saviero Kratli introduces this section with an overview of the ‘barriers’ in the methodological legacy of pastoral development and the pressing need to update it (28). Bassirou Bonfoh et al. consider the economic, institutional and policy aspects of pastoralism (29), and other authors provide case studies on institutional development in various parts of the world: Ulan Kasymov et al. discuss Central Asia (30), Lu Yu and Katharine Farrell describe the situation in China (31), Gilbert Fokou and Bassirou Bonfoh provide examples from West Africa, (32), Eduardo Grünwaldt et al. outline arrangements in Latin America (33), and Karina Liechti and Jean-Pierre Biber discuss Europe (34). Henri Ruelle and Inam Rahim (35) describe how the economic viability of pastoralism can be enhanced through markets, Sarah Janzen et al. (36) show that viability is similarly enhanced by livestock insurance schemes, and Christoph Jans et al. (37) and Ilse Köhler-Rollefson (38) show that innovations in food conservation and livelihood pathways, respectively, can also improve the economic prospects of pastoralism.

Tools for pastoral development

To complement the institutional and economic aspects of pastoral development, Razingrim Ouedraogo and Johnathan Davies summarise programmes, studies and projects which promote pastoralism (39), Caroline Dyer describes the importance of locally adapted mobile-education approaches for pastoralists (40), while Seid Mohammed Ali et al. (41) and Mirjam de Bruijn et al. (42) show how modern communication influences the pastoral way of life.

Opportunities

Pastoralism has a long cultural heritage, beginning with the domestication of livestock over 10,000 years ago. It can be argued that urban development would not have been possible without domestication of livestock to provide a reliable source of food (22). Pastoralism is at the foundation of both Judeo-Christian and Islamic (Abrahamic) cultures. Today, rather than being a remnant of a backward attitude, pastoralism remains a way of life because of climatic and geographic conditions, offering a pathway to modernity. Clearly, pastoralism is not an outdated stage in the progress to civilisation but a way of life highly adapted to local and regional conditions in modern society. It has deep cultural and social traditions harbouring key concepts and institutions for governance and social organisation, knowledge management, and transformation. The environmental benefits of pastoralism are highlighted as Seid Mohammed Ali shows the contribution pastoralism makes to environmental services (23), Brigitte Kaufmann et al. describe pastoral livestock genetic resources and biodiversity (24), Bilal Butt addresses the issues of rangeland dynamics and management (25), and Felix Lankester and Alicia Davis discuss pastoral land use and wildlife (26).
Human and animal health

Improved social services such as human and animal health services, combined with participatory social processes identifying priorities for locally adapted governance and integrated adaptive management, are keys to the future forms of economically rewarding and ecologically sustainable pastoral systems. Cross-border animal disease control in pastoral areas also contributes to conflict transformation. Good quality human and animal health surveys are feasible among pastoralists but are still not commonly done, as shown by Esther Schelling et al. (43). Jakob Zinsstag et al. (44) argue that cost-effective control strategies are needed to improve animal health, and Fayiz Abakar et al. (45) outline the potential for collaborative provision of veterinary and public health services for pastoralists.

Conclusions

The previously proposed dialectic questions regarding the future of pastoralism must be addressed together. This volume presents a spectrum of expertise on specific issues of pastoralism but still does not represent a wholly comprehensive systemic analysis of pastoralism. Jakob Zinsstag et al. use the Sustainable Development Goals of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development (46) as a guiding principle to develop a synthetic conclusion and vision at the end of this issue (47). This statement on a vision for the future of pastoralism, along with the continued engagement of the OIE, should stimulate critical examination and progress in the development of integrated research on pastoralism and inform contextual adaptations of pastoral policies for provincial and national governments and international organisations.
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